



MUON COLLIDER TASK FORCE

Overview of organization,
activities, and plans





CHARGE

- Charge from the Fermilab Director (July 2006)
 - “...the Muon Collider represents a possible long term path for extending the energy frontier in lepton collisions beyond 1 TeV.”
 - “...Task Force to develop a plan for an advanced R&D program aimed at the technologies required to support the long term prospects of a Muon Collider. “
 - requested for September 2006: A report outlining a plan for developing the Muon Collider concept based on recent ideas in the realm of ionization cooling.
- Initial proposal delivered Sept. 2006
 - https://mctf.fnal.gov/muoncollider_aard_proposal_v3.doc
- Report on first years activities delivered in Dec. 2007
 - [FNAL-TM-2399](#)



ORGANIZATION





The screenshot shows the homepage of the Muon Collider Task Force website. At the top, there is a blue banner with the text "Muon Collider Task Force" in white. Below the banner is a navigation bar with links for "home", "events", and "news". A search box is located in the top right corner. The main content area features a "Welcome to The Muon Collider Task Force Web Home" message, followed by a paragraph of text about the task force's mission and a list of "Important Documents". A calendar for March 2008 is visible at the bottom left, with the 14th highlighted. The footer of the page includes a link to the "Accelerator Division Document Database".

- MCTF website:
<http://mctf.fnal.gov>
- APC Muon Dept. website:
<http://apc.fnal.gov/groups2/muon.shtml>



MCTF REPORT

FERMILAB-TM-2399-APC 10-Jan-08

MUON COLLIDER TASK FORCE REPORT

C.Ankenbrandt, Y.Alexahin, V.Balbekov, E.Barzi, C.Bhat, D.Brommelsiek, A.Bross,
A.Burov, A.Drozhdin, D.Finley, S.Geer, N.Gelfand, E.Gianfelice-Wendt, M.Hu,
A.Jansson, C.Johnstone, J.Johnstone, V.I.Kashikhin, V.Kashikhin, M.Lamm, V.Lebedev,
N.Mokhov, C.Moore, A.Moretti, D.Neuffer, K.-Y.Ng, M.Popovic, I.Rakhno, V.Shiltsev,
P.Spentsouris, A.Striganov, A.Tollestrup, A.Valishev, A.Van Ginneken, K.Yonehara,
C.Yoshikawa, A. Zlobin

FNAL

J.Norem
ANL

J.S.Berg, J.C.Gallardo, R.Gupta, H.Kirk, R.Palmer, R.Fernow, P.Wanderer
BNL

A.Bogacz, Y.-C.Chao, Y.Derbenev, R.A.Rimmer
JLAB

G.Sabbi, P.Ferracin, S.Caspi, M.Zisman
LBNL

R.Abrams, K.Beard, R.P.Johnson, M.A.Cummings, S.A.Kahn, S.Korenev, D.Newsham,
T.J.Roberts
Muons Inc.

D.B.Cline, Y.Fukui, A.Garren
UCLA

G.Hanson, A.Klier
UC Riverside

L.M.Cremaldi, D.J.Summers
University of Mississippi

- Report on first year of MCTF activities:

https://mctf.fnal.gov/annual-reports/mctf-report-2007_v9.doc

- Delivered Dec. 2007 (final version Jan 2008)

- Participation from:
 - 9 Institutions
 - 64 People




MCTF ACTIVITIES

- With the NFMCC, prepare the way for “Muon Collider Design Study 1” in ~FY012-13 with main MCTF focus on 6D Cooling R&D and Collider Ring Design
- Present MCTF Activities
 - Helical Cooling Channel design, simulation & component tests
 - Additional cooling channel simulation studies for last cooling channel stages (“FOFO Snake”)
 - HTS conductor & magnet studies (for end of cooling channel)
 - Collider Ring Lattice Studies
 - Parameter Studies (MC parameter list, wall plug power)
 - Physics, Detector & Background Studies
 - First look at using ILC cavities (beam loading issues)



HCC ACTIVITIES

- A Helical Cooling Channel filled with high pressure H_2 gas has been proposed by Muons Inc. MCTF goal: do what is necessary to find out whether this idea is a viable & attractive option.
- Develop & simulate HCC design, including RF cavities, with realistic engineering constraints.
- Build & test a 4-coil HCC model magnet.
- Test in a beam an RF cavity filled with high hydrogen gas at high pressure.
- Near-Term Goals
 - Establish whether an HCC is viable and define a prototype HCC section to build and test in the next few years.




HCC SIMULATIONS

- Motivation

- Early simulations ignored engineering constraints, for example it was assumed $R_{\text{cavity}} > R_{\text{coil}}$.
- A more realistic simulation is needed before we can conclude that an HCC is viable & attractive.


- MCTF Progress & Plans

- Independent simulation (V. Balbekov) confirmed main results (K. Yonehara).
- Studies show when R_{cavity} reduced there is a loss in performance.
- Coming year: Re-iterate simulation with realistic engineering constraints.



RF INTEGRATION IN HCC

- 3 options identified for RF integration in HCC. →
 - Which options are practical?
 - What impact do their details have on HCC performance ?
 - Want an answer before we decide what to prototype & test




RF inside coils

coils inside RF


MCTF Progress & Plans

- Assembled team to get some engineering input →
- first understanding of coil-rf separation.
- In coming year, define prototype & begin the design.
- More details
 - See A. Jansson's talk

A Jansson, K Yonehara, V Kashikin, M Lamm, J Theilacker, A Klebaner, D Sun, A Lee, G Romanov, D Broemmelsiek, G Kutznetsov, A Shemyakin




separated RF sections





HCC RF 4 COIL TEST

- Motivation
 - Validate mechanical structure & winding technology
 - Develop field quality measurement
 - Study quench protection issues
- Progress
 - Muons Inc. STTR phase 2 funds obtained to support labor for design, fabrication & test (materials + contract labor from MCTF funds).
 - Mechanical & magnetic design completed, and conductor obtained & tested
 - Procurement in progress
 - Plan pre-fabrication review in May & test at end of FY08
- More details
 - See S. Zlobin's talk






HIGH PRESSURE RF FOR HCC

- Motivation

- Within the HCC, hydrogen gas at high pressure is used to both suppress RF breakdown in a magnetic field (already demonstrated without beam) & provide the energy loss media for the cooling channel.
- Not clear that HPRF cavities will work in an ionizing beam ... for the HCC concept its crucial that we find out.




- MCTF Progress & Plans

- Plan to test Muons Inc. HPRF cell in a beam at the MUCOOL Test Facility.
- In 2007 most of the beamline was built (major activity)
- Plan to complete beamline this calendar year.
- If results are encouraging, next step would be to build and test a real HPRF cavity in an appropriate magnetic field.



BEAMLINE FOR HPRF TEST



**BEAMLINE
AS INSTALLED**

- Beamline installation nearing completion
 - Significant accomplishment in last year
 - Need linac access to complete
- More details:
 - See C. Johnstone's talk on beamline
 - See A. Jansson's talk on experimental program




HTS CONDUCTOR & MAGNET R&D

- Motivation
 - Existing very high field resistive/SC hybrids solenoids → Megawatt power, one-of-a-kind, expensive to build/operate.
 - Hybrid SC magnet with HTS insert proposed for end of cooling channel (Note: HTS solenoid insert operating at 25T already demonstrated).
 - Muons Inc. has initiated a small scale R&D activity in the Fermilab T.D.
 - MCTF Plan → Study magnet issues and study materials & properties as a function of field, field angle, & temperature, for fields >25T.

- Progress
 - Magnet challenges identified: stress management, conductor performance, quench protection → focus on conductor development
 - Sample holder built, I_c vs B measured for several materials, up to 28T; made cable; Plans progressing for National Collaboration on HTS conductor development.

- More details
 - Conductor R&D: See S. Zlobin's talk
 - National Collaboration: See A. Tollestrup's talk




50T Field map



ADDITIONAL COOLING CHANNEL STUDIES

201 MHz "FOFO Snake"




Cell length = 3.2 m, solenoid inner radius = 40cm, $B_{max}=2.4$ T at $p=100$ MeV/c
HPRF cavities 2×16cm long, $E=25$ MV/m, GH2 fill with density 10% of LH2
Emittance decrement 1/25m, equilibrium emittances $\sim 1.5 \pi \cdot \text{mm}$



COLLIDER RING DESIGN

- “High”- & “Low”- emittance Muon Collider designs have been proposed ... both have pros and cons.
 - Previous “High-emitt.” design had insufficient dynamic aperture.
 - “Low-emittance” parameters are more a wish-list than a design.
- MCTF Progress
 - New “dipole-first” scheme comes close to high-emitt. parameters (with sufficient dynamic aperture).
- Plans
 - Start preliminary detector-shielding studies to see if “dipole-first” scheme viable (background issues)
 - Continue exploring possibilities for lower-emittance designs.
- More details
 - See R. Palmer’s Talk





PARAMETER STUDIES

Muon Collider Parameters

| | Low Emit. | High Emit. | MCTF07 |
|--|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| \sqrt{s} (TeV) | 1.5 | | |
| Av. Lum ($10^{34}/\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$) * | 2.7 | 1 | 1.33-2 |
| Av. Bending field (T) | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| Mean radius (m) | 361.4 | 500 | 500 |
| No. of IPs | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Proton Driver Rep (Hz) | 65 | 13 | 40-60 |
| Beam-beam parmtr/IP | 0.052 | 0.087 | 0.1 |
| β^* (cm) | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Bunch length (cm) | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| No. bunches / beam | 10 | 1 | |
| No. muons/bunch (10^{11}) | 1 | 20 | 11.3 |
| Norm. Trans. Emit. (μm) | 2.1 | 25 | 12.3 |
| Energy spread (%) | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Norm. Long. Emit. (m) | 0.35 | 0.07 | 0.14 |
| Total RF voltage (GV) | $407 \times 10^3 \alpha_c$ | 0.21** | 0.84** |
| Muon survival $N_{\mu}/N_{\mu 0}$ | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.2 |
| μ^+ in collision / proton | 0.047 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 8 GeV prtn beam power | 3.62*** | 3.2 | 1.9-2.8 |


Wall Plug Power Estimates

| | MC1999 | HE2008 | HE2008 | LE2008 | LE2008 |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Collider cm Energy (TeV) | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |
| Luminosity ($1e34$) | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Emittance (pi mm mrad) | 50 | 25 | 25 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Rep rate (Hz) | 15 | 13 | 8 | 65 | 32 |
| Muons/beam ($1e12$) | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| PD beam power (MW) | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3.6 | 8 |
| Muon beam power (MW) | 57.6 | 6.24 | 7.68 | 15.6 | 15.36 |
| TOTAL wall plug power (MW): | 204 | 60 | 83 | 166 | 158 |
| PD (MW) | | 16 | 11 | 68 | 35 |
| Bunching Ring(s) (MW) | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Target station (MW) | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Collection system (MW) | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Cooling system (MW) | | 4 | 12 | 2 | 2 |
| Acceleration (MW) | 130 | 25 | 32 | 81 | 93 |
| Beamlines | | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |



OTHER STUDIES

- First look at beam loading for acceleration of intense muon bunches using ILC cavities (V. Yakovlev, N. Solyak)
 - See Talk by R. Palmer




- Physics Studies
 - Last detailed MC physics study a decade ago
 - Physics study group initiated (C. Hill & E. Eichten) with first mini-workshop held (5th March 2008)
 - In coming year, will build on this activity and begin to update our understanding of detector/background requirements.
 - See Talk by E. Eichten



FUNDING FOR NFMCC & MCTF ACTIVITIES WITHIN FERMILAB

- All MCTF & NFMCC activities at Fermilab are pursued within the framework of the Accelerator Physics Center (APC) Muon Dept.
- Provides mechanism for allocating resources to support both NFMCC & MCTF activities at FNAL
- Worked well in this last (very tough) year



CURRENT BUDGET (M&S fully loaded)

APS Muon Dept. Resources (M\$)

| | FY07 Spent | FY08 Allocated * |
|-----|------------|------------------|
| | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| M&S | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| SWF | 3.3 ** | 3.2 ** |

**DoE specified funding cap on all muon accelerator R&D at Fermilab*

** ~14 FTEs → ~ 50% for MCTF & 50% for NFMCC activities



FY08 M&S DIRECT vs REQUEST

| Activity | FY07 Spent | FY08 Allocated | FY08 Request |
|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Travel | 91 | 30 | 80 ¹⁾ |
| HCC Magnet | 58 | 60 | 230 ²⁾ |
| HTS | 0 | 50 | 200 ³⁾ |
| MTA Beamline | 573 | 220 | 300 ⁴⁾ |
| MUCOOL | 50 | 160 | 280 ⁵⁾ |
| MICE | 160 | 60 | 60 |
| MCTF RF | | | 120 ⁶⁾ |
| 6D HCC Section | | | 100 ⁷⁾ |
| TOTAL | 932 | 580 | 1370 |



FY08 FUNDING REQUEST NOTES

- 1) Needed to meet travel needs associated with MICE
- 2) To move beyond initial “4 coil test” towards building an HCC section.
- 3) to exploit HTS conductor R&D momentum initiated with SBIR, and to push ahead with initiating a national HTS magnet collaboration needed to get our feet on the ground with this technology.
- 4) The MTA beamline estimate is 300k\$. Completing the beamline so that the first HPRF test can be made in FY08 is a priority.
- 5) Needed to complete the presently planned MUCOOL RF R&D in FY08 before the MICE solenoid arrives early FY09 (→ scheduling conflict)
- 6) Needed to extend the RF R&D to explore “magnetic insulation” against RF breakdown.
- 7) Needed to begin work towards bench testing an HCC 6D cooling section ... first step towards a 6D cooling experiment.



TOWARDS A 5 YEAR PLAN

- Prepare the way for MC Feasibility Study 1
 - Simulate complete cooling scheme
 - Develop ring design (consistent with cooling channel parameters)
 - Determine whether HCC is viable/attractive
 - Bench test for at least one viable 6D cooling channel technology
 - Determine performance that might be anticipated from high field HTS solenoid inserts
- Funding assumptions for Muon R&D at Fermilab
 - Guided by discussions with S. Holmes
 - Supports both MCTF and NFMCC activities at FNAL
 - Ramp up effort to 24 FTEs (SWF = 6M\$/yr)
 - Ramp up M&S to 3M\$/yr (assume total national investment (SWF+M&S) in NF+MC R&D ramped up to 20 M\$/year)



5 YEAR FUNDING MODEL (M\$)

| Activity | FY09 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Travel | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| MTA Tests & infrastructure | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| MUCOOL & MICE | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 6D Cooling Section | 300 | 600 | 1000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| HTS | 200 | 300 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| M&S TOTAL | 1100 | 1500 | 2000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| SWF (FTE) | 4000 (18) | 4500 (21) | 5000 (22) | 5500 (23) | 6000 (24) |



SUMMARY

- MCTF has just completed its first year of activities
 - Progress documented in “annual report”
- MCTF activities focused on R&D to inform “MC Design Study 1”, with main emphasis on 6D cooling channels & MC ring design
 - Complete 6D cooling scheme simulated end-to-end
 - Short cooling section bench-tested
 - MC ring design with parameters consistent with the cooling channel performance.
- To accomplish these goals on a reasonable timescale we believe we need to ramp up MCTF support over the next few years
 - Support NFMCC activities at FNAL plus MCTF activities → M&S ~ 3m\$/yr, SWF ~ 6M\$/yr (~24 FTEs).
 - Given the goals, we consider this to be the minimum support required. There is no contingency in our estimates (contingency= time).
 - Vital for our plans that MC R&D caps permit this growth.